

FOURTEENTH PARLIAMENT OF SINGAPORE

First Session

SECOND SPECIAL REPORT FROM THE COMMITTEE OF PRIVILEGES

Parl. 6 of 2021

Presented to Parliament on

11 December 2021

COMMITTEE OF PRIVILEGES

Members

Mr Speaker (Mr Tan Chuan-Jin)
Chairman

Ms Grace Fu Hai Yien
Minister for Sustainability and the Environment

Mr Desmond Lee
Minister for National Development and Minister-in-charge of Social Services Integration

Ms Rahayu Mahzam
Parliamentary Secretary, Ministry of Communications and Information and Ministry of Health

Mr Dennis Tan Lip Fong

Mr Edwin Tong Chun Fai
Minister for Culture, Community and Youth and Second Minister for Law

Mr Don Wee

Mr Zaqy Mohamad
Senior Minister of State, Ministry of Defence and Ministry of Manpower and Deputy Leader of the House

CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
SECOND SPECIAL REPORT FROM THE COMMITTEE OF PRIVILEGES	1
Annex A – Summary of Key Points from Evidence Given by Mr Faisal Manap on 9 Dec 2021	A1 – A7
(I) – Ms Raeesah Khan’s Statement in Parliament on 3 Aug 2021 and Meeting with Party Leaders on 8 Aug 2021	A1 – A3
(II) – Ms Khan’s Statement in Parliament on 4 Oct 2021	A3 – A4
(III) – Mr Faisal’s communications with Ms Khan after the 4 October Parliament sitting	A5 – A7
(IV) – Mr Faisal’s Prepared Note on the Sequence of Events	A7
Annex B – Minutes of Proceedings	B1 – B5

SECOND SPECIAL REPORT FROM THE COMMITTEE OF PRIVILEGES

The Committee of Privileges (the “**Committee**”) constituted under Standing Order 100(7)(a) has agreed to make this Second Special Report under Standing Order 105(2):

Proceedings and evidence since 3 December 2021

1. After the Special Report to Parliament (Parl. 5 of 2021) on 3 December 2021, the Committee met again on 6 December 2021 and resolved to call the following Members of Parliament for Aljunied GRC as witnesses:

- (a) Mr Pritam Singh, Leader of the Opposition;
- (b) Ms Sylvia Lim; and
- (c) Mr Muhamad Faisal Bin Abdul Manap.

2. On 9 December 2021, the Committee heard oral evidence from Mr Muhamad Faisal Bin Abdul Manap.

3. A summary of the oral evidence given by Mr Muhamad Faisal Bin Abdul Manap is set out at **Annex A**.

4. After hearing the above witness, the Committee resolved to make the entire video recording of the oral evidence, with sensitive information redacted, available to Parliament and thereby to the public through the Parliament website.

Next steps

5. The Committee will continue to investigate the Complaint and hear further evidence. It will make its findings and recommendations in due course.

Annex A

(A) Summary of Key Points from Evidence Given by Mr Faisal Manap on 9 Dec 2021

1. Mr Faisal Manap (“Mr Faisal”) gave evidence to the Committee of Privileges (“COP”) on 9 December 2021.
2. The key points from Mr Faisal’s evidence are summarised below.

I. Ms Raeesah Khan’s Statement in Parliament on 3 Aug 2021 and Meeting with Party Leaders on 8 Aug 2021

3. On the morning of 8 Aug 2021, Mr Pritam Singh (“Mr Singh”) asked Mr Faisal to go to his (Mr Singh’s) house for a meeting at 11 am (“the 8 Aug meeting”). Ms Sylvia Lim (“Ms Lim”) and Ms Raeesah Khan (“Ms Khan”) were also present. The meeting lasted for about an hour.
4. The meeting was the first time Mr Faisal found out that the anecdote that Ms Khan had shared in Parliament on 3 Aug 2021 – about her accompanying a sexual assault survivor to the police station – was untrue.
 - a. Mr Faisal came to the 8 Aug meeting with the impression that the discussion would primarily be about other issues which Ms Khan had raised during her statement in Parliament on 3 Aug 2021 (“the 3 Aug Parliament statement”), namely female genital cutting and polygamy, which related to the Muslim community. He had this impression because he had been discussing these issues both with Mr Singh, and with Ms Khan, since Ms Khan’s 3 Aug Parliament statement.
 - b. Mr Faisal was not aware that Ms Khan had spoken to Mr Singh before the 8 Aug meeting, to inform Mr Singh that the anecdote (relating to the sexual survivor) in her 3 Aug Parliament statement was untrue.
5. At the meeting, Ms Khan said that she had suffered a sexual assault as a student in Australia when she was 18 years old. She then broke down and cried. She also confessed to Mr Singh, Ms Lim and Mr Faisal that the anecdote in her 3 Aug Parliament statement was not true.
6. Mr Faisal said that he, Mr Singh, and Ms Lim were overwhelmed by what Ms Khan shared about her sexual assault.
 - a. Mr Singh asked Ms Khan who else knew about the sexual assault. Ms Khan mentioned Ms Loh Pei Ying (“Ms Loh”), Mr Yudhishtira Nathan (“Mr Nathan”), Ms Khan’s therapist, and her husband. Ms Khan said her parents did not know about the assault.
 - b. Mr Faisal was worried about Ms Khan’s well-being, especially as he was a former counsellor. He asked if Ms Khan was receiving treatment, and suggested that he could partner an asatizah to counsel her.
 - c. There was no anger against Ms Khan, after she shared her experience. Nor did Mr Singh indicate that Ms Khan should go before the COP.
7. Mr Faisal said that he, Mr Singh and Ms Lim had tried to console and comfort Ms Khan.

8. After Ms Khan calmed down from her account of her sexual assault, Mr Faisal proceeded to raise what Ms Khan had said in her 3 Aug Parliament statement regarding female genital cutting and polygamy. He asked Ms Khan to put out a Facebook statement later that day to clear the air on these two issues, as there was unhappiness among the Muslim community about Ms Khan's 3 Aug Parliament statement. Mr Faisal believed that this was an issue that had caused distress to Ms Khan, to a point where she had contemplated resigning as a Member of Parliament ("MP"). Ms Khan agreed to put out the statement, and Mr Singh and Ms Lim did not object.
9. Neither Mr Singh, Ms Lim nor Mr Faisal reacted to Ms Khan's confession to them, that she had lied in Parliament on 3 Aug. They also did not discuss what to do about it. Mr Faisal said that this was because they had been overwhelmed after hearing about Ms Khan's sexual assault, and their main concern was Ms Khan's well-being. Mr Faisal said that he had nonetheless raised the issues concerning female genital cutting and polygamy later in the meeting. And Ms Khan agreed with his suggestions. Mr Faisal said that he understood that it would be hard to understand why the three of them did not react to Ms Khan's confession that she had told an untruth in Parliament.
10. After they left Mr Singh's house, Mr Faisal exchanged text messages with Ms Khan to give her comments on her draft Facebook post concerning female genital cutting and polygamy. When the post was finalised later that afternoon, Ms Khan uploaded it. For the rest of the that day (8 Aug), Mr Faisal did not speak to Ms Khan about the untruth she had told in Parliament.
11. After the meeting, Mr Faisal did not discuss either with Ms Khan, or with Ms Lim and/or Mr Singh, the issue of Ms Khan's anecdote in Parliament having been false. Mr Faisal did not ask any questions either on 8 Aug 2021, or thereafter, of either Ms Khan, or Mr Singh and/or Ms Lim, about Ms Khan's lie to Parliament. In short, he told COP that he was not involved in anything relating to the untruth.
12. When Mr Faisal found out on 8 Aug that Ms Khan had lied to Parliament, he was quite alarmed. He agreed that lying to Parliament about the police is a very serious matter. He also agreed that the anecdote which Ms Khan told in Parliament on 3 Aug, if true, would cause the public to have a bad impression of the police. Sexual assault victims would also be worried about making a report to the police if they believed the anecdote was true.
13. As such, Mr Faisal agreed that it would have struck him almost immediately that the lie that Ms Khan told on 3 Aug was a big problem. He also agreed that given the nature of Ms Khan's untruth, even though he had been overwhelmed and very affected by what Ms Khan shared about her experience as a sexual assault victim, he also had to apply his mind to her admission that she had lied, which was also very serious.
14. Mr Faisal accepted that it was bad to lie to Parliament. He agreed that it was equally wrong to allow a lie to carry on in Parliament. He also agreed that if one knew of a true fact which would correct a deception on Parliament, keeping quiet would also be a problem, and could possibly amount to an offence.
15. Mr Faisal agreed that after he became aware of Ms Khan's lie, it would have been logical for him to have asked questions about Ms Khan's intention to clarify the lie, at various points in the events that transpired.
16. Mr Faisal said that he had left Mr Singh to handle the matter because he trusted Mr Singh, having worked with him for over 10 years as a fellow Workers' Party ("WP") MP. And he believed that Mr Singh had the information to make the judgment call on the matter. Mr Faisal also trusted Ms Khan to do the right thing.

17. Mr Faisal agreed that since he had not raised or discussed the matter with Ms Lim or Mr Singh either at or after the 8 Aug meeting, he would not know whether either Ms Lim or Mr Singh addressed the problem. Mr Singh did not update Mr Faisal at any point in time about how he was managing the issue of Ms Khan's untruths.
18. Mr Faisal agreed that Ms Khan, as a young MP, with barely a year in Parliament, was meeting with her most senior Party leaders on 8 Aug. It would have been fair and reasonable for Ms Khan to expect, going into the 8 Aug meeting, that she would get guidance from Mr Singh, Ms Lim and Mr Faisal about what to do about this issue.
19. Mr Faisal agreed that it would be reasonable for Ms Khan to assume, from the 8 Aug meeting, that her senior party leaders were not concerned with the untruth she had told in Parliament, because they said nothing, made no comment and did not tell her what she should do. However, he felt that if Ms Khan had wanted guidance from him, Ms Lim or Mr Singh, she should have proactively asked them for guidance, when they remained silent on the issue at the 8 Aug meeting. In Mr Faisal's view, Ms Khan was an adult and the mother of two children, and was not young.
20. Mr Faisal was also asked about the WhatsApp message that Ms Khan had sent to Ms Loh Pei Ying ("Ms Loh") and Mr Yudhishtira Nathan ("Mr Nathan"), her closest assistants and senior cadre members. Ms Khan had sent this message to Ms Loh and Mr Nathan, soon after the meeting on 8 Aug concluded. The message read:

"Hey guys, I just met pritam, Sylvia and Faisal. And we spoke about the Muslim issue and the police accusation. I told them what I told you guys, and they've agreed that the best thing to do is to take the information to the grave. They also suggested that I write a statement to send out this evening."

21. Mr Faisal said that everything in the WhatsApp message was true, except for the claim that they had spoken with regards to the police accusation, and that Mr Singh, Ms Lim and he had asked Ms Khan to take the 3 Aug lie in Parliament "to the grave".
 - a. He said that Ms Khan was lying about this, but he could not explain why she would do so.
 - b. On this issue, he accepted that what Ms Khan told the COP and what she had said contemporaneously in her message to Ms Loh and Mr Nathan was different (in parts) from what Mr Faisal was telling the COP.
 - c. Mr Faisal agreed that everything that Ms Khan had done after the 8 Aug meeting (see below) would be consistent with her account to Ms Loh and Mr Nathan, of what happened during the meeting, if that account was true. He also agreed that the absence of discussion about the lie in his subsequent discussions with Ms Khan was consistent with Ms Khan's account of the 8 Aug meeting, and her belief that Mr Singh, Ms Lim and himself had told her to "take it to the grave", if it was true.

II. Ms Khan's Statement in Parliament on 4 Oct 2021

22. From 8 Aug 2021 to 29 Oct 2021, Mr Faisal did not communicate further with Ms Khan, Mr Singh and/or Ms Lim on Ms Khan's untruth. He was neither involved in nor aware of any discussions that the others might have had amongst themselves on the issue during this time.

23. Mr Faisal was very sure that no draft statement or media post had been prepared with a view to clarifying Ms Khan's untruth during the September sitting of Parliament. As far as he was aware, between the 8 Aug meeting and the next Parliament sitting on 13 September 2021, there was no preparatory work done to clarify Ms Khan's falsehood. Mr Faisal did not pursue the issue with Mr Singh, Ms Lim or Ms Khan.
24. Mr Faisal had not expected Ms Khan's anecdote in her 3 Aug Parliament statement (which was untrue) to arise during the October sitting of Parliament. He was not aware that Mr Singh had visited Ms Khan at her home on 3 Oct, the day before the Parliament sitting.
25. Mr Faisal was not in Parliament during Ms Khan's exchange with Minister Shanmugam on 4 Oct, as he had arrived in the Chamber later. He learnt about the exchange later that day, when he saw a media report on his mobile phone. After reading the report, Mr Faisal became aware that Ms Khan had repeated her previous falsehood in Parliament.
26. When Mr Faisal learnt of what Ms Khan had said in Parliament on 4 Oct about the anecdote, he was shocked and worried. He appreciated that this was a serious problem for Ms Khan, and that the WP was in trouble. This put him and the WP in a "more difficult position", as Ms Khan had now lied twice in Parliament. Mr Faisal agreed that he would have been concerned that by that point, the WP had to do something about it.
27. At that point, only a few people would have known that what Ms Khan said on 4 Oct in Parliament was yet another lie. The rest of the public, and media, would not know. Nor was the WP CEC aware that Mr Singh, Ms Lim and he (Mr Faisal) actually knew that Ms Khan had lied on 3 Aug and lied again on 4 Oct on the same matter.
28. Mr Faisal agreed that allowing a lie to perpetuate in Parliament was possibly an offence, and that could affect him, Mr Singh and Ms Lim personally. As an MP, he (Mr Faisal) also had a duty to ensure that no untruth remained on the record in Parliament.
29. Mr Faisal agreed that as a matter of openness and transparency, it was important to bring the clarification on Ms Khan's lie (which she repeated on 4 Oct) out as soon as possible. But Mr Faisal did not do anything, nor did he speak with anyone about it. To his mind, this was a matter for Mr Singh to deal with. The timing of when to have Ms Khan correct the record in Parliament would depend on Mr Singh's judgment.
30. Mr Faisal did not check with Ms Lim, Mr Singh or any other CEC member on what to do about Ms Khan's further falsehoods. This was because he trusted Mr Singh to resolve the issue. He also believed Ms Khan would do the right thing. He accepted that it would have been logical for him to have checked with Mr Singh what was going on, after he found out that Ms Khan had repeated the untruth in Parliament on 4 Oct, but he explained that he did not because he trusted Mr Singh and that was also the type of person he was – he did not go by mere logic.
31. Mr Faisal agreed that Ms Khan's conduct in Parliament on 4 Oct would be consistent with her account of what Mr Singh, Ms Lim and Mr Faisal had told her to do at the 8 Aug meeting, if it was true, i.e., that she was to continue with her narrative, and lie (see Para 20 above). The fact that there was no discussion at all between the WP MPs about Ms Khan's lie on 4 October, or on the clarification of that lie, was consistent with Ms Khan's account of what happened on 8 Aug, if it was true.

III. Mr Faisal's communications with Ms Khan after the 4 October Parliament sitting

32. On 5 Oct 2021 (the day after Ms Khan repeated her untruth in Parliament), Mr Faisal initiated and sent a message to her, to encourage her:

“Assalamualaikam
Stay strong Sis.
Allah will always be with those who are in need of His assistance. Do regularly turn to Him.
And anytime you need views and opinions insyaAllah I will set aside time.”

33. Mr Faisal sent this message to Ms Khan on 5 Oct, because he wanted to comfort her, in light of her exchange with Minister Shanmugam in Parliament the previous day, on 4 Oct, when Ms Khan had repeated the untruth.
34. Mr Faisal agreed that since he was in direct communication with Ms Khan, he could have asked her about clarifying the untruth in Parliament, but he did not do so. He agreed that his behaviour did not make sense nor were they logically acceptable. He admitted that it was illogical that even after Ms Khan had repeated the untruth on 4 Oct, he (Mr Faisal) had not raised it with Ms Khan, when Mr Faisal met Ms Khan 3 days later, on 7 Oct. He said that he did not raise the matter with Ms Khan about her untruth, because she neither raised the issue nor sought his guidance on it.
35. On 7 Oct 2021, Ms Khan sent the police's request to her for assistance, to Mr Singh, Ms Lim and Mr Faisal. Mr Faisal agreed that the police request to Ms Khan was a fair request.
36. Ms Khan told them about the police request, and informed them that she would consult a lawyer. Mr Faisal did not reply to Ms Khan. To his knowledge, neither did Ms Lim or Mr Singh.

IV. Ms Khan's Statement on 1 Nov 2021

37. Ms Khan shared her draft 1 Nov statement with the WP Central Executive Committee (CEC) at a meeting on 29 Oct 2021. This was the first time that Mr Faisal found out that Ms Khan would be clarifying the truth behind her anecdote at the 1 Nov Parliament sitting.
38. On 31 Oct 2021, Mr Faisal gave Ms Khan encouragement on her draft statement, saying that she was “doing the right thing” and that it was “courageous” of her to share her experience.
39. When Mr Faisal heard Ms Khan's statement in Parliament on 1 Nov, he felt relieved that Ms Khan had come out to tell the truth, and corrected the record.

V. WP's Disciplinary Panel (“DP”)

40. After Ms Khan made her statement in Parliament on 1 Nov, Mr Faisal received a message from Mr Singh later that day, asking him to be part of a Disciplinary Panel (“DP”) against Ms Khan. The DP was formally established on 2 Nov 2021.
41. As at 2 Nov 2021, when the DP was formally established, other than Mr Singh, Ms Lim and Mr Faisal, the rest of the CEC did not know that Ms Khan had confessed to the three of them on 8 Aug that she had told an untruth to Parliament in her 3 Aug Parliament statement. This continued to be the case, when the CEC met on 30 Nov 2021 to deliberate on the DP's recommendations, in respect of the actions to be taken against Ms Khan.

42. Mr Faisal said that the role of the DP was to look into the untruths that Ms Khan had told Parliament. He agreed that whether Ms Khan was persistent in her lie, or whether she had sought the guidance of senior leadership and confessed to them about the lie, would be relevant to the level of sanction or punishment that the DP would recommend.
43. The WP press release of 1 Nov conveyed the impression that Ms Khan had repeated the falsehood on 4 Oct, (which she first made on 3 Aug) and did not tell the WP leadership anything about it first. WP members were then asked to come forward and give their views to the WP leadership, in relation to Ms Khan's actions. Mr Faisal agreed that the members who came forward to share their views, would not have been able to give an informed view, if they did not know the fact that Ms Khan had come forward to Mr Singh, Ms Lim and himself, and confessed fully on 8 Aug. As a matter of logic and fairness, a member would not be able to give an honest and unbiased opinion, based on the impressions conveyed by the WP press release of 1 November.
44. However, Mr Faisal did not feel it was necessary to inform the CEC of the full facts of the DP's knowledge or involvement, or disclose those full facts to the WP members who were invited to provide their views to the DP – namely that Ms Khan had confessed her untruth to Mr Singh, Ms Lim, and Mr Faisal on 8 Aug 2021. He said that these matters were not relevant specifically to the DP's work, because the DP's recommendations were to be based only on what it (the DP) had been told, or the information that it gathered, between the specific dates of 8 Nov 2021 (when the DP first sat to receive evidence), and 29 Nov 2021 (when the DP concluded hearing evidence). Whatever was not raised to the DP during these two dates would not be considered.
45. After the DP was formed, neither Ms Lim nor Mr Singh discussed with Mr Faisal whether the 8 Aug meeting and what Ms Khan told them should be part of the DP's report to the CEC. Likewise, there was no discussion between the three of them on whether the DP's report to the CEC should mention that they (Mr Singh, Ms Lim and Mr Faisal) were the only 3 MPs, other than Ms Khan, who knew in Parliament that Ms Khan had lied on 3 Aug and had repeated the lie on 4 October.
46. Mr Faisal agreed that in general, a person could not make a recommendation on a matter that he himself had an interest in. He also agreed that it was wrong to allow a lie to carry on in Parliament, and that Mr Singh, Ms Lim and himself could be investigated as well, in this respect. However, he did not feel that he was in a position of conflict of interest sitting on the DP.
47. The DP presented its recommendations to the CEC on 30 Nov 2021. The DP recommended that Ms Khan should resign or, failing which, she should be expelled from the WP.
 - a. When the DP briefed the CEC about its recommendations, it did not disclose to the CEC that Mr Singh, Ms Lim and Mr Faisal had met Ms Khan on 8 Aug (a few days after her original 3 Aug Parliament statement), where she confessed to them concerning her lie to Parliament.
 - b. The DP also did not disclose to the CEC that Ms Loh and Mr Nathan, senior cadre members who had worked closely with Ms Khan, had made very strong statements to the DP for the DP members to disclose their own involvement and knowledge, and come clean with WP members and the public.
 - c. The DP's recommendations to the CEC also included a summary of recommendations made by members whom the DP had heard submissions from.

Mr Faisal agreed that those members would have had no idea that Ms Khan had, in August, confessed to Mr Singh, Ms Lim and himself. He agreed that logically, it should have been

put to the CEC that these members had given their views to the DP, without knowing that Ms Khan had come clean on 8 Aug.

He also agreed that it would only be fair to Ms Khan and the integrity of the whole disciplinary process, for these members to know that Ms Khan had gone to the Party leadership on 8 Aug and explained the matter fully, openly and transparently.

48. The CEC voted in favour of Ms Khan's expulsion without knowledge of the full facts.

VI. Mr Faisal's Prepared Note on the Sequence of Events

49. Mr Faisal brought a note with him to the hearing. He said that he had prepared it, to remind himself of the sequence of what had happened.
50. Mr Faisal said that he had discussed with Mr Singh and Ms Lim, whether he had gotten the dates right, in his note. He had met with both of them on 8 and 9 Dec (the two days prior to his giving evidence to the COP). They met for about two to three hours on each of the two days.
51. When asked about these meetings, and the material which Mr Singh and Ms Lim brought along to the meetings, Mr Faisal informed the COP four times, that he would not answer the question. He refused to answer, despite being reminded that he had been called before the COP to assist with its investigations, which the documents may shed light on.
52. It was also explained to Mr Faisal that a refusal to answer the COP's questions would amount to an offence and constitute a contempt of Parliament. He was invited to reconsider his refusal to answer the question. Nevertheless, Mr Faisal confirmed that the COP should place on record that he was refusing to answer that question, and repeated four more times that he would not be answering the question. Mr Faisal said that Ms Lim and Mr Singh had brought documents to their meetings with him, but he also refused to answer if he knew what those documents were.

Annex B

MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS OF THE COMMITTEE

4th Meeting

Monday, 6 December 2021

11.00 am

PRESENT

Mr Speaker (Mr Tan Chuan-Jin) (*in the Chair*)
Ms Grace Fu Hai Yien
Ms Rahayu Mahzam
Mr Dennis Tan Lip Fong
Mr Edwin Tong Chun Fai
Mr Don Wee
Mr Zaqy Mohamad

ABSENT

Mr Desmond Lee (on leave of absence)

-
1. The Committee deliberated.
 2. *Agreed*, that oral evidence be taken on oath or affirmation from:
 - (a) Mr Pritam Singh;
 - (b) Ms Sylvia Lim; and
 - (c) Mr Muhamad Faisal Bin Abdul Manap.

Adjourned to Thursday, 9 December 2021

5th Meeting

Thursday, 9 December 2021

11.00 am

PRESENT

Mr Speaker (Mr Tan Chuan-Jin) (*in the Chair*)

Ms Grace Fu Hai Yien

Mr Desmond Lee

Ms Rahayu Mahzam

Mr Dennis Tan Lip Fong

Mr Edwin Tong Chun Fai

Mr Don Wee

Mr Zaqy Mohamad

-
1. The Committee deliberated.
 2. Mr Muhamad Faisal Bin Abdul Manap was examined on affirmation.
 3. The Committee further deliberated.

Adjourned to Friday, 10 December 2021

6th Meeting

Friday, 10 December 2021

9.00 am

PRESENT

Mr Speaker (Mr Tan Chuan-Jin) (*in the Chair*)

Ms Grace Fu Hai Yien

Mr Desmond Lee

Ms Rahayu Mahzam

Mr Dennis Tan Lip Fong

Mr Edwin Tong Chun Fai

Mr Don Wee

Mr Zaqy Mohamad

-
1. The Committee deliberated.
 2. Mr Pritam Singh was examined on affirmation.
 3. The Committee further deliberated.

Adjourned to Saturday, 11 December 2021

7th Meeting

Saturday, 11 December 2021

11.00 am

PRESENT

Mr Speaker (Mr Tan Chuan-Jin) (*in the Chair*)
Ms Grace Fu Hai Yien
Mr Desmond Lee
Ms Rahayu Mahzam
Mr Dennis Tan Lip Fong
Mr Edwin Tong Chun Fai
Mr Don Wee

ABSENT

Mr Zaqy Mohamad (on leave of absence)

1. The Committee deliberated.
2. *Agreed*, that oral evidence be taken on oath or affirmation from Assoc Prof Jamus Jerome Lim.
3. Question put, “That the video recording of the oral evidence of Mr Muhamad Faisal Bin Abdul Manap, Member of Aljunied GRC, be made available to Parliament and published on the Parliament website.”.

The Committee divided.

Ayes, 6
Tan Chuan-Jin
Grace Fu Hai Yien
Desmond Lee
Rahayu Mahzam
Edwin Tong Chun Fai
Don Wee

Noes, 1
Dennis Tan Lip Fong

Resolved, “That the video recording of the oral evidence of Mr Muhamad Faisal Bin Abdul Manap, Member of Aljunied GRC, be made available to Parliament and published on the Parliament website.”.

4. Question put, “That the Chairman’s Second Special Report be read a second time, paragraph by paragraph.”.

The Committee divided.

Ayes, 6
Tan Chuan-Jin
Grace Fu Hai Yien
Desmond Lee
Rahayu Mahzam
Edwin Tong Chun Fai
Don Wee

Noes, 1
Dennis Tan Lip Fong

Resolved, "That the Chairman's Second Special Report be read a second time, paragraph by paragraph."

5. Question put, "That paragraphs 1 to 5 inclusive stand part of the Second Special Report."

The Committee divided.

Ayes, 6
Tan Chuan-Jin
Grace Fu Hai Yien
Desmond Lee
Rahayu Mahzam
Edwin Tong Chun Fai
Don Wee

Noes, 1
Dennis Tan Lip Fong

Resolved, "That paragraphs 1 to 5 inclusive stand part of the Second Special Report."

6. Question put, "That this report be the Second Special Report of the Committee to Parliament."

The Committee divided.

Ayes, 6
Tan Chuan-Jin
Grace Fu Hai Yien
Desmond Lee
Rahayu Mahzam
Edwin Tong Chun Fai
Don Wee

Noes, 1
Dennis Tan Lip Fong

Resolved, "That this report be the Second Special Report of the Committee to Parliament."

7. *Agreed*, that the Chairman do present the Second Special Report to Parliament today.

Adjourned to Sunday, 12 December 2021